



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,252	03/01/2002	Peter Leskovar	07038.0003U2	5111	
23859 7	590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.			NICKOL, GARY B		
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	1642	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/087,252	LESKOVAR, PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 7, 9, drawn to a method for treating cancer in a subject comprising eliminating suppressor cells in the subject by contacting the suppressor cells with cyclophosphamide and monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies recognizing the suppressor cells, classified in class 514, subclass 1; class 424, subclass 130.1.
- II. Claims 1, 8-9, drawn to a method for treating cancer in a subject comprising eliminating suppressor cells in the subject by contacting the suppressor cells with cyclophosphamide and CD-3 or CD-8 positive T-cells, classified in class 514, subclass 1; class 424, subclass 93.71.
- (See Below: Claim 6 improperly depends from Claim 3. For purposes of including the claim in the restriction, it was assumed that Claim 6 was dependent from Claim 5.)
- III. Claims 1, 5-6, 9, drawn to a method for treating cancer in a subject comprising eliminating suppressor cells in the subject by contacting the suppressor cells with antibodies specific for T-cells, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,252

Art Unit: 1642

Upon election of Group III above, applicant must further elect ONE antibody from those listed in Claim 6 as each antibody represents an independent and or distinct invention. Note, this is not an election of species.

IV. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method for treating cancer in a subject comprising eliminating suppressor cells in the subject by contacting the suppressor cells with ONE type of antibody from those listed in Claim 4 (Markush groups a-g), classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

Upon election of Group IV above, applicant must further elect ONE antibody from those listed in Claim 4 as each antibody represents an independent and or distinct invention. Note, this is not an election of species.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-IV represent materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. For example, Groups I and II are distinct, each from the other, in that different reagents (T-cells versus antibodies) are used in the claimed inventions. As such, the groups would require different searches in the literature and different considerations for patentability. Group IV is further distinct from the other Groups in that different reagents are used in the claimed method. It is further noted that the elimination of the suppressor T cells in Group IV requires a plurality of distinct biochemical molecules which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of

Application/Control Number: 10/087,252

Art Unit: 1642

operation, different functions and different effects. Further, in accordance with the decisions in *In re Harnisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984), restriction of a Markush group is proper where the compounds within the group either (1) do not share a common utility, or (2) do not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In addition, a Markush group may encompass a plurality of independent and distinct inventions where two or more members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the other member(s) obvious under 35 USC 103. In the instant case, the plurality of antibodies claimed do not share a substantial structural feature.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification(s), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,252

Art Unit: 1642

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

March 30, 2004

GARY NICKOL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Yang Brickol